External Peer Review Report

Date: September 3, 2026

To: Robert C. Erickson
Acting Inspector General
General Services Administration

We reviewed the system of quality control for the General Services Administration Office of the Inspector General (GSA OIG) in effect for the year ended March 31, 2026. A system of quality control includes multiple aspects of an organization, including, but not limited to, policies and procedures designed to provide reasonable assurance of complying with the Council of the Inspectors General on Integrity and Efficiency's (CIGIE's) *Quality Standards for Inspection and Evaluation*, December 2020 (Blue Book).

In our opinion, the system of quality control for the GSA OIG in effect for the year ended March 31, 2026, has been suitably designed and complied with to provide the Consumer Product Safety Commission Office of the Inspector General (CPSC OIG) with reasonable assurance of performing and reporting in conformity with the Blue Book.

Inspection and Evaluation (I&E) organizations can receive a rating of pass, pass with deficiencies, or fail. The GSA OIG has received an External Peer Review rating of *pass*.

Basis of Opinion

This required external peer review was conducted in accordance with CIGIE's *Guide for Conducting External Peer Reviews of Inspection and Evaluation Organizations of Federal Offices of Inspector General* (July 2023) and the Memorandum of Understanding between the Offices of the Inspectors General of the CPSC OIG and the GSA OIG, entered into on April 6, 2026.

During our review, we met with GSA OIG personnel and obtained an understanding of the nature of the GSA OIG's I&E function and the design of the GSA OIG's system of quality control sufficient to assess the risks implicit in its I&E function. Based on our assessments, we selected I&E reports and administrative files to test for conformity with Blue Book standards and compliance with the GSA OIG's system of quality control.

In performing our review, we obtained an understanding of the system of quality control for the GSA OIG's I&E function. In addition, we tested compliance with the GSA OIG's quality control policies and procedures to the extent we considered appropriate. These tests covered the application of the GSA OIG's policies and procedures on selected I&E reports. Our review was based on selected tests; therefore, it would not necessarily detect all weaknesses in the system of quality control or all instances of noncompliance with it.

Prior to concluding the peer review, we reassessed the adequacy of the scope of the peer review procedures and met with GSA OIG management to discuss the results of our review. We believe that the procedures we performed provide a reasonable basis for our opinion. Enclosure 1 to this report identifies the GSA OIG's I&E reports we reviewed.

The GSA OIG's management officials provided a response to our Peer Review Report (Enclosure 2) in which they agreed to our overall rating.

Responsibilities and Limitations

The GSA OIG is responsible for establishing and maintaining a system of quality control designed to provide the GSA OIG with reasonable assurance that the organization and its personnel comply in all material respects with Blue Book standards. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the design of the system of quality control and the GSA OIG's compliance based on our review.

There are inherent limitations in the effectiveness of any system of quality control; therefore, noncompliance with the system of quality control may occur and may not be detected. Projection of any evaluation of a system of quality control to future periods is subject to the risk that the system of quality control may become inadequate because of changes in conditions or because the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

CHRISTOPHER DENTEL Digitally signed by CHRISTOPHER DENTEL
Date: 2026.09.03 09:42:01 -04'00'

Christopher W. Dentel, Inspector General

Enclosure(s)

ENCLOSURE 1: Scope and Methodology

We reviewed compliance with the GSA OIG's inspection organization's system of quality control in effect for the year ended March 31, 2026, to the extent we considered appropriate. We selected the following two reports for review. We reviewed GSA OIG policies and procedures, manuals, and prior peer review report. The GSA peer review presented a low risk. Therefore, we selected a judgmental sample of two evaluation reports. Furthermore, during our review of the sample engagements, we tested the implementation and operating effectiveness of the policies and procedures.

Title	Report No.	Report Date
Evaluation of the General Services Administration's Site Selection Process for the Relocation of the Federal Bureau of Investigation's Headquarters	JE25-002	2/3/2025
Public Buildings Service Is Not Providing Oversight of Maintenance for Indoor Firing Ranges In GSA-Owned Buildings	JE26-001	12/9/2025

The CPSC OIG performed the peer review virtually. All files reviewed were transferred securely using Box, reviewed using TeamMate Reader and did not contain classified information.

ENCLOSURE 2: Reviewed Organization's Comments to Draft Peer Review Report

U.S. General Services Administration
Office of Inspector General
Office of Inspections

August 26, 2026

Christopher W. Dentel
Inspector General
Consumer Product Safety Commission
Office of the Inspector General
4330 East-West Highway, Room 702
Bethesda, MD 20814

Subject: Response to Draft Peer Review Report

Dear Mr. Dentel:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the draft report related to the external peer review of our Office of Inspections. We appreciate the Review Team's independent review of our office's compliance with the required standards of the Council of the Inspectors General on Integrity and Efficiency's *Quality Standards for Inspection and Evaluation*, December 2020 (Blue Book) and their assessment of our internal policies and procedures.

We agree with the overall rating and have no comments on the report and would like to express our gratitude to your staff for their time, dedication, and professionalism in conducting this peer review.

If you have any questions, please contact Karla M. Myers, Assistant Inspector General for Inspections, Office of Inspections at karla.myers@gsaig.gov.

Sincerely,

Robert Erickson

Robert C. Erickson
Acting Inspector General

1800 F Street, NW, Washington, DC 20405